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Feiler & Ngo. This study aimed to assess the correlation between physical activity (PA) levels and health locus of control (HLOC: internal, external-chance, external-powerful others, God/God locus of health control) among Seventh-day Adventists (SDA) and non-SDAs. The sample of this study included 185 individuals aged 22 to 81 who were employed by or attending an SDA affiliated higher education institution during the 2020-2021 academic school year. By completing the survey voluntarily, the participants provided their PA level and information regarding their HLOC. To analyze the impact of HLOC on PA, a multiple regression analysis was conducted. While overall results for a majority of respondents showed high levels of PA, SDA's reported statistically significant lower PA than did non-SDAs. HLOC (internal, external-chance, external-powerful others, God/God locus of health control) was not a significant predictor for PA.
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Introduction

Physical inactivity has become the world’s fourth leading cause of death (World Health Organization [WHO], 2019). This lack of physical activity (PA) contributes to multiple chronic health problems, such as high blood pressure, heart disease, diabetes, weak bones and muscles, poor weight management, and even some cancers (WHO, 2019). Within the United States, almost 80% of adults do not achieve enough PA (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2017), which has resulted in annual healthcare costs of about $90 billion (Carlson et al., 2015). Even though the CDC (2017) recommends adults obtain 150 minutes per week of aerobic exercise and 2 days per week of muscular strengthening exercise, the average American adult has a difficult time following such recommendations.

Much research has been done on PA within selected groups of individuals, including those who are part of religious groups. With more than 92% of Americans identifying with a religion, it is important to question if there is something there that contributes to PA engagement, or lack thereof (Pew Research Center, 2020). Unfortunately, religiously-connected people tend to get significantly less PA than the recommendations (Ahrenfeldt et al., 2018; Aljayyousi et al., 2019; Banerjee et al., 2017; Geller et al., 2019; Joseph et al., 2017; Lycett, 2015; Nathenson & Wen, 2013; Park et al., 2018; Pullins et al., 2018; Rabiepoor et al., 2019; Thomson et al., 2015; Tristão Parra et al., 2018; Waters et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2016). However, there is one group that appears to contradict these findings: Seventh-day Adventists (SDAs).

SDAs are Protestant Christians who are distinguished by the belief in the second coming of Jesus Christ and the observance of the Sabbath – the
seventh day of the week in the Hebrew calendar (General Conference of SDAs (Seventh-day Adventists) [GC], 2019). The SDA belief system encourages the practice of healthy lifestyles, including a diet that is mainly plant-based and avoids animal products that are deemed to be “unclean” according to the Bible, regular PA, resting on Sabbath (Saturdays), worshiping, and engaging in social fellowship (GC, n.d.). One SDA hub is Loma Linda, CA, and has been labeled a “Blue Zone,” which is one of the areas around the world where people live the longest and healthiest lives (Buettner, 2010). Loma Linda is home to a flagship SDA medical school and hospital; the Loma Linda residents’ secrets for longevity were daily engagement in regular PA and belonging to a faith-based community where there is support for practicing the lifestyle recommendations of the SDA church (Buettner, 2010). Hence, there is a known correlation between PA and the health beliefs of those within the SDA faith. In Lindsted et al.’s (1991) longitudinal study of SDA men, they found that moderate levels of PA protected them from all causes of death, thereby delaying death to 95.6 years, as compared to the national average of 78.8 years (CDC, 2018). Acosta Enríquez et al. (2019) examined lifestyle behaviors of SDA and non-SDA adolescents and found no differences in PA levels, although those who participated in a sport had low body mass index levels. Koenig’s (2012) systematic review found that religiously-involved people exhibited a mostly positive relationship to obtaining adequate PA. There are many factors that influence PA and health beliefs; one such factor is health locus of control (HLOC). 

HLOC refers to the position one takes in controlling one’s decisions for their own health. According to Wallston (Wallston et al., 1978; n.d., 1993, 2005), the three subcategories for HLOC are internal, external-chance, and external-powerful others. Internal HLOC indicates that health is in one’s own control. External-chance HLOC indicates that one believes their health is controlled by fate or luck. External-powerful others HLOC indicates that one believes their health is controlled by significant others, such as doctors, and/or God or individuals with a higher power. Wallston et al. (1999) expanded the HLOC category of external-powerful others and developed the God locus of health control (GLHC). This aimed to better understand how belief in God played a role in health control – how much does a person believe that God controls their health?

How HLOC shapes preventive health behavior, such as PA, has previously been studied in multiple population groups. Sak et al.’s (2013) study of college students’ health behaviors showed primarily internal HLOC with additional significant influence from external-powerful others. Similarly, Bennett et al. (2017) found that college students who used online and/or application health trackers were likely to have high HLOC scores for both internal and external-powerful others. Helmer et al. (2012) and Carlson and Petti (1989) all found young adult students with high internal HLOC had high PA, whereas those with high external-chance HLOC had low PA. Rongen et al. (2014) discovered that employees with high internal HLOC were likely to have high self-rated health yet low engagement in employee wellness programs. Anastasiou et al. (2015) found that adults with high internal HLOC were more likely than those with high external HLOCs to engage in adequate PA. Webb et al.’s (2012) study found that pregnant women were less likely to participate in PA if they had high external-chance HLOC scores. Moshki et al. (2014) found postpartum depressive women showed decreased external and increased internal HLOCs after four months of depression intervention. Thomas et al. (2016) determined that African American women had higher internal HLOC and increased PA at a 6-month follow-up of an intervention to improve lifestyle choices. Pudrovskas’s (2015) longitudinal study found that as compared to men, women had more PA limitations and lower self-rated health, yet higher religiosity – which was associated with high internal HLOC.

Regarding GLHC and PA, Robinson and Wicks (2012) found African American women showed high GLHC and low levels of PA. Karvinen and Carr (2013) determined that GLHC scores were negatively associated with PA and internal HLOC, yet positively associated with both external HLOCs. Boyd and Wilcox (2020) discovered that college students who frequently attended religious services were likely to have high GLHC and external HLOC scores, and low internal HLOC scores.

It is important to note that no publications to date have addressed the combination of HLOC (internal, external-chance, external-powerful others, GLHC)
and PA in a religiously-affiliated population. This study investigated the relationships between the independent variables (IV) of HLOC’s internal, external-chance, external-powerful others, and GLHC categories, and the dependent variable (DV) of PA. The null hypothesis stated that HLOC subscales would not significantly predict PA.

**Methods**

For this study, four pre-existing questionnaires were combined into one survey that was administered via email using PsychData: (1) International Physical activity (PA) Questionnaire (2002), which measures PA during leisure time, at work, and for transport within the last week, and has been reliably and validly correlated with accelerometer data (Kim et al., 2013; Macfarlane et al., 2011; Papathanasiou et al., 2009); based on Cohen’s guidelines a medium effect size (0.39) was found for total PA (Kim et al., 2013), good test-retest reliability and Spearman’s correlation for total PA ($r = 0.35$; Macfarlane et al., 2011), and intra-class correlation coefficients were high for total PA (0.84; Papathanasiou et al., 2009). Next, (2) the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (Wallston et al., 1976) measures an individual’s level of belief regarding control factors over their health as internal, external-chance, and external-powerful others, and has shown to have moderate reliability with Cronbach alphas ranging from .60 -.75, and test-retest stability coefficients from .60 -.70 (Otto et al., 2011; Wallston, 1993). Then, (3) the God Locus of Health Control (GLHC; Wallston et al., 1999), which measures an individual’s level of belief that God is in control of their health, has shown strong alpha reliability at about .90 and has validly measured religious beliefs about health ($r = .29$ and .32, between GLHC scores and religious importance ratings, and $r = .42$ and .47, between GLHC and using religion to cope with pain; Mills et al., 2018; Murray et al., 2006; Robinson & Wicks, 2012; Wallston, 2005; Wallston et al., 1999).

Last, (4) Short Form 36, which measures self-reported health-related quality of life (RAND Corporation, 2019), has shown to have established validity and reliability above 0.80 (Ware et al., 1993), and median relative precision at 0.93 (McHorney et al., 1992, 1994). None of the pre-existing questionnaires included demographic questions; such questions were added in and included age, gender, ethnicity, education level, marital status, household number, and religious affiliation. This article will focus on results from the first three questionnaires and the demographic element of religious affiliation.

From February through April 2021, the survey link was sent once to all individuals with active institutional email addresses at three SDA-affiliated higher education institutions, as the minimum number of respondents was met with a single email at each institution. These individuals were employed by or attending an SDA-affiliated higher education institution during the 2020-2021 academic school year. The respondents were informed about their voluntary participation and their right to withdraw from the study at any time. SPSS version 27 was used to analyze the data.

**Results**

A total of 313 initiated the survey but only 185 students, faculty, and staff from three SDA-affiliated institutions completed the self-response survey. Age of participants ranged from 22 to 81 years of age, 68% identified as female, and 59.5% identified as SDA (see Figure 1). After collection, the data was analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Multiple regression was conducted to examine the relationship between HLOC (internal, external-chance, external-powerful others, God/GLHC) and the self-reported PA levels among participants. The novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, which causes the COVID-19 infection, has had a profound effect on all aspects of society worldwide, including sporting events and training.
The regression showed that the model was not statistically significant \( F(4, 165) = .329, p = .858, R^2 = .008 \) and the null hypothesis was not rejected. The independent variables included in the model were not significant predictors of PA, meaning that no HLOC category had a significant impact on PA levels (see Table 1). However, the results showed that 66% of the participants reported high levels of PA (achieving at least 3,000 METS per week), 28% reported moderate levels of PA (at least 600 METS per week), and 5% reported low amounts of PA (less than 600 METS per week; see Table 2).

### Table 1

**Regression Analysis Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Question</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( r_c )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DV: PA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.296</td>
<td>.596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External - Chance</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.613</td>
<td>.627</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External - Powerful Others</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.773</td>
<td>.597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>God/GLHC</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.604</td>
<td>.795</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>Low (Percent)</th>
<th>Moderate (Percent)</th>
<th>High (Percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22-29</td>
<td>4 (2.3%)</td>
<td>19 (11.1%)</td>
<td>52 (30.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>2 (1.2%)</td>
<td>9 (5.3%)</td>
<td>30 (17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>2 (1.2%)</td>
<td>8 (4.7%)</td>
<td>9 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>8 (4.7%)</td>
<td>17 (9.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (1.8%)</td>
<td>4 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Table total percentage = 100%.

In comparing SDAs and non-SDAs, the results showed some differences. When compared to non-SDAs, SDA’s had significantly higher internal HLOC scores (t(182) = 2.251, p = .026, d = .338), which was a small effect. There were no significant differences between SDAs and non-SDAs for any other HLOC category (external-chance: (t(183) = -1.865, p = .064, d = -.279; external-powerful others: (t(183) = -2.274, p = .024, d = -.341; GLHC: (t(183) = -0.70, p = .944, d = -.010).

More than 60% of SDAs achieved a high amount of PA, while 75% of non-SDAs had a high amount (see Table 3). For moderate amounts of PA, 33% of SDAs, 33% of SDA’s had significantly higher internal HLOC scores (t(182) = 2.251, p = .026, d = .338), which was a small effect. There were no significant differences between SDAs and non-SDAs for any other HLOC category (external-chance: (t(183) = -1.865, p = .064, d = -.279; external-powerful others: (t(183) = -2.274, p = .024, d = -.341; GLHC: (t(183) = -0.70, p = .944, d = -.010).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low PA</th>
<th>Moderate PA</th>
<th>High PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDAs</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SDAs</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The results from this study showed that none of the HLOC subscales was a statistically significant predictor of PA, therefore we failed to reject the null. This finding is not consistent with previous studies which found a positive relationship between high internal HLOC and high PA levels, and a negative relationship between external-chance and/or external-powerful others and PA (Anastasiou et al., 2015; Carlson & Petti, 1989; Helmer et al., 2012; Karvinen & Carr, 2013; Rongen et al., 2014). In addition, the present study’s findings do not align with research that has shown those with high GLHC scores were less likely to engage in PA in any amount (Karvinen & Carr, 2013; Robinson & Wicks, 2012). These differences comparing past studies with the present study are addressed below.

These differences comparing past studies with the present study are addressed below.

In comparing HLOC of SDAs and non-SDAs, SDAs had higher internal scores, which indicates that they believe they have greatest control over their own health and health outcomes. This is not to say non-SDAs do not have high internal HLOC scores, simply that SDAs scored even higher. The high internal HLOC score for SDAs could be associated with the SDA belief that God empowers individuals to be in control of their lives, rather than leave things to chance, fate, or other influences. There were no other differences between SDAs and non-SDAs in the other categories for HLOC (external-chance, external-powerful others, God/GLHC). Although not part of this study, attendance at religious services has shown to be positively correlated to high GLHC scores (Boyd &...
Wilcox, 2020). This is an element that could be addressed in future studies.

The finding that more than half of participants engaged in moderate or high levels of PA was surprising yet consistent with Lindsted et al.'s (1991) study on SDAs. Koenig (2012) and Park et al. (2018) had each previously found that religious involvement and PA were positively correlated, although Acosta Enríquez et al. (2019) found no differences in PA levels when comparing religious and non-religious individuals. This study's findings are a stark contrast to CDC (2017) reports that have indicated about 80% do not get enough PA. In addition, many other studies have shown that religiously-affiliated individuals do not obtain adequate PA (Aljayyousi et al., 2019; Banerjee et al., 2017; Geller et al., 2019; Joseph et al., 2017; McKenzie et al., 2015; Nathenson & Wen, 2013; Rabiepoor et al., 2019; Thomson et al., 2015; Tristão Parra et al., 2018; Waters et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2016). It is likely that the PA of this study's sample is more greatly influenced by other, non-HLOC factors that were not part of this study, such as access to PA locations (indoor, outdoor), familial support, and previous positive PA experience, among other potential factors. One possible explanation might be related to the COVID-19 pandemic – Cheval et al. (2021) found that many individuals increased their leisure-time PA during shutdown, as compared to pre-pandemic levels, which showed to help reduce the negative impact of the stressful time on mental health.

It should be noted that while all respondents worked at or attended an SDA institution, most respondents (59.5%) identified as SDA and most of the remaining respondents identified with a different religion. Only 10.8% combined identified as either agnostic, atheist, unaffiliated, nothing in particular, or did not wish to respond – which could mean many things. With about 90% identifying with a religion, this is similar to the Pew Research Center's (2020) previous finding that 92% of Americans identify as religiously-affiliated.

This study had a few limitations, including the failure to draw causal relationships between the investigated variables and the use of a self-report PA measurement tool, which is less accurate than accelerometers and can allow respondents to overestimate their actual PA (Downs et al., 2014; LeBlanc & Janssen, 2010; Troiano et al., 2007). With this in mind, PA levels in this study may have been lower than reported. In addition, there may have been other, non-HLOC, factors that influenced PA participation for this study's participants, including time spent caring for family members or at work; physical limitations or illnesses; both religious and PA attitudes, beliefs, behaviors, and/or practices that were not investigated within this study; and the COVID-19 pandemic, which impacted health and the workplace, which required many people to work from home, and thereby changed the work-home dynamic and related physical and mental health. Specific religious factors for future studies could include religious service attendance, prayer, and views on the role of God/a higher power and sanctity of the human body.

Nevertheless, most of the participants in this study reportedly achieved high levels of PA and only 5% of the participants obtained insufficient PA. These findings contradicted previous studies which showed that many people of a religiously-affiliated group, such as SDAs, tend to not engage in adequate PA (Aljayyousi et al., 2019; Banerjee et al., 2017; Geller et al., 2019; Joseph et al., 2017; McKenzie et al., 2015; Nathenson & Wen, 2013; Rabiepoor et al., 2019; Thomson et al., 2015; Tristão Parra et al., 2018; Waters et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2016).

Conclusions

This study was conducted to examine the impact that HLOC (internal, external-chance, external-powerful others, God/GLHC) has on PA among those working at or attending SDA institutions of higher education. Although previous research found a positive correlation between HLOC and PA, the results from this study indicated that none of the HLOC subcategories is a significant indicator of PA. This suggests that other factors play a stronger role in influencing the high PA levels of the sample in this study, such as time spent caring for others, illness, religious beliefs, and PA beliefs, among others. Further research should be conducted to assess the relationship between HLOC and PA among SDAs when the settings of the study are not influenced by COVID-19, as well as using a non-subjective PA measurement tool, such as accelerometers.
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